

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,469	11/25/2003	Takumi Taniguchi	07057.0057	4375
22852	2852 7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			SZEKELY, PETER A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/
/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,469	TANIGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 No.	ovember 2003					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Disposition of Claims						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	_				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/04,4/27/05,8/5/						

Application/Control Number: 10/720,469 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Since a certified English translation of the foreign priority document has not been submitted, the effective filing date of the instant application is 11/25/03.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The property, i.e. antioxidant capability, of a composition does not further limit said composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Synthesis and evaluation of phosphonated poly (4-phenoxybenzoyl-1,4-phenylene), S. Yanagimachi et al. Synthetic Metals 135-136 (2003) 69-70.
- 5. The reference shows the compound, the method of making the compound and the properties of the compound in parts 1-3. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,469 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 93/18077, in view of DE 102 01 886, further in view of JP-2000-011755, JP-2000-011756 or Suzuki et al 6,606,856.
- 9. From the prior art (WO 93/18077) the poly (4-phenoxybenzoyl-1,4-phenylene) is known (see claims 1 and 3, p. 77 in the place cited), which is unsubstituted at the phenoxy group of the sidechains and thus is identical to the presently specified educt. Reference (DE 102 01 886) describes the poly (4-phenoxybenzoyl-1,4-phenylene), which, in para position of the phenyl ring of the phenoxy group, carries a sulfonic acid

Art Unit: 1714

group (see section [0032], p.4 in the place cited). For this sulfonated poly (4phenoxybenzoyl-1,4-phenylene), use as a membrane material in fuel cells is described (see claims 1 to 27, p. 34-35 in the place cited). From references (JP-2000 0111755 and JP-2000 011756) deriving from the applicants themselves, and from (Suzuki et al.), it may be finally appreciated that it is possible to phosphonate a "high molecular compound having a hydrocarbon part". Moreover, the use if these phosphonated polymers in the field of electrolyte composites for membranes in fuel cells may be appreciated from the tertiary references. On the basis of the technical teaching of the secondary reference in connection with the tertiary references, it is thus obvious for the average skilled person, which in this case is a chemist specialized in the field of polymers, to phosphonate the poly (4-phenoxybenzoyl-1,4-phenylene) from the secondary reference in para position, instead of sulfonating and providing the same for an application in fuel cell membranes. Selecting said PPBP as a starting polymer for phosphonation is not unobvious feo the reason that the sulfonated PPBP, known in accordance with the secondary reference, already comprises positive properties in the field of fuel cells, and it is generally known from the tertiary references that phosphonation of a "high molecular compound having a hydrocarbon part" causes positive effects in this field. Further, there is no unexpected technical effect of the phosphonated PPBP to seen in the present description. The effects specified in the description were to be expected.

- 10. Asano et al. 6,933,068, the English equivalent of the German patent is enclosed.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/720,469

Art Unit: 1714

examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Peter Šzekelv **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1714

Page 5

P.S.